



Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol Bae Abertawe Swansea Bay University Health Board



Meeting Date	09 March 2022	Agenda Item 3.1	
Report Title	Infection Prevention & Control Improvement Plan		
Report Author	Delyth Davies, Head of Nursing, Infection Prevention & Control		
Report Sponsor	Gareth Howells, Executive Director of Nursing & Patient Experience Richard Evans, Executive Medical Director		
Presented by	Gareth Howells, Executive Director of Nursing & Patient Experience Richard Evans, Executive Medical Director		
Freedom of Information	Open		
Purpose of the Report	Infection Prevention & Control p to, and agreed at the Managem 2021.		
	To address and improve the H performance against key infect appendix presents the propo Control Improvement Plan.	ions, the following paper and	
	Past improvement activities have not succeeded in achieving a sustained reduction in healthcare associated infections.		
	Many of the strategies to re infections are not novel, but re strong leadership, and a comm by all staff in the Health Board.	equire a refresh and restart, itment to act for improvement	
	The aim is to create a guiding c leaders (not just nursing staff) a who see the intrinsic benefits infection.	at all levels in the organisation	
	Notwithstanding the harm to the stimated cost to the health boat is around £4m pa.		
	Provision of quality, safe care includes the management and prevention of healthcare associated infections. Infection prevention has to be viewed as core business for Service Groups, and a key responsibility of Service Group Directors and all Health Board staff.		
Key Issues	The preventable harm being ca support and look after	aused to the people we	

	<ul> <li>Failure to achieve SBUHB improvement targets, and reduction goals</li> <li>Failure to achieve Welsh Government infection reduction goals.</li> <li>To reduce the incidence of C. difficile infection, bloodstream infections, and tackle the development of antimicrobial resistance, the Health Board also has to be sighted on, and implement strategies to reduce other infections.</li> <li>The key priority areas for reducing bloodstream infections for example relate to Health Board staff adhering to proven IPC practices, and as focus on priority areas line management, and utilise the science of improvement in this as well as robust clinical leadership</li> <li>This will also need to include, a zero tolerance approach to IPC numbers and harm, robust measurement and monitoring processes, ownership and commitment, the rapid review of cases and never events.</li> <li>There will also need to be systematic adoption across organisation of evidenced based practice, communication , reward and recognition approaches to enable all our staff s</li> </ul>			
	medical leadership in IP&C.			
Specific Action	Information	Discussion	Assurance	Approval
Required				
Recommendations	<ul> <li>Members are asked to:         <ul> <li>CONSIDER AND APPROVE THE INFECTION PREVENTION IMPROVEMENT PLAN, AND SPECIFICALLY:</li> <li>MEET THE REQUIRED REDUCTION TRAJECTORIES FOR ALL TIER 1 INFECTIONS</li> <li>ENSURE CLINICAL LEADERSHIP AT ALL LEVELS</li> <li>UTILISE EVIDENCE, AND BEST PRACTICE</li> <li>EMPLOY IMPROVEMENT METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREMENT</li> <li>SUPPORT THE REVISED FOCUS OF IPC TEAM</li> <li>ENSURE THAT ON ALL OCCASSIONS CLINCIAL LEADERS REVIEW IPC NEVER EVENTS AND ENSURE THE REQUIRED ACTIONS ARE INITIATED AND MAINTAINED TO PREVENT A RE-OCCURRENCE.</li> <li>SUPPORT THE APPOINTMENT OF A SBUHB DIRECTOR OF INFECTION, PREVENTION AND CONTROL</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			

#### Infection Prevention & Control Improvement Plan

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The SBUHB Infection Prevention & Control position paper was presented to, and agreed at the Management Board on December 15<sup>th</sup> 2021.

To address and improve the Health Board's unsatisfactory performance against key infections this paper and appendix presents the proposed Infection Prevention & Control Improvement Plan, which sets out key goals and actions for Service Groups to implement and lead in order to drive improvements.

## 2. BACKGROUND

Provision of Safe effective and efficient care requires the delivery of evidence based best practice on the management and prevention of healthcare associated infections. However, past improvement activities within the Health Board have not succeeded in achieving a sustained reduction in healthcare associated infections.

The 2017 European Point Prevalence of Survey of Healthcare Associated Infection identified that within ABMUHB, the organisation that preceded Swansea Bay University Health Board, bloodstream infections (bacteraemia) and gastrointestinal infections (including *C. difficile*) together account for 17% of all hospital acquired infections.

The more prevalent hospital acquired infections were reported as urinary tract infection (UTI), surgical site infection (SSI), and hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP), which together accounted for almost 50% of all hospital acquired infections.

Treatment of these infections can lead to the development of *C. difficile* infection, and antimicrobial resistance. UTI, SSI and HAP can also be the primary source of secondary bloodstream infections. All of which harm our patients and service users should they be unfortunate enough to develop an infection.

The Infection Prevention and Control Improvement Plan proposes improvement strategies for Service Delivery Groups and the Health Board to implement. The plan uses a Goal, Method and Outcome format, with Quarter 1 – Quarter 4 time-frames. The Improvement Plan is detailed in Appendix (1). To improve our position Service Delivery Groups must focus the improvement activities described within this plan.

The Improvement Plan also presents the aspirational Welsh Government goals for infection reduction. As there have been increases in these infections over the previous two years within the Health Board, to achieve the level of reduction set by Welsh Government would require 50% reduction in *C. difficile*, 45% reduction in *Staph. aureus*, 15% reduction in *E. coli* and 25% reduction in *Klebsiella bacteraemia*.

This would be the requirement for the Health Board to achieve over the next 12 months.

## 3. GOVERNANCE AND RISK ISSUES

The population served by Swansea Bay University Health Board should expect to receive safe and high quality services. Yet the incidence if some key infections is higher within this Health Board than others in NHS Wales. Avoidable healthcare associated infection is an adverse event, with potentially life-changing and life-threatening consequences for patients.

Infection prevention must be viewed as core business for the Service Delivery Groups, and a key responsibility of Service Group Triumvirates and all Health Board staff.

#### 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications of implementing the improvement plan has not been scoped in full (e.g. there are capital and revenue implications if the plan is approved and implemented). but the potential cost benefits are substantial

Notwithstanding the harm caused to our patients and service users, the overall financial impact of healthcare associated infections for the Health Board is significant., and success will also support a reduction in bed occupancy, reduced harm, reduced medication spend, increased patient satisfaction and a reduced mortality for the people we look after. The following provides an overview of these benefits.

#### Bed-days lost and costs associated with HCAI

A study was undertaken in NHS Scotland to estimate the costs associated with HCAI, including excess length of stay. This study, published in August 2021, used Scottish NHS reference costs to estimate unit costs for bed-days. This study is the first in the UK to report whole-hospital incidence associated infection for approximately 20 years.

Full details of methods and findings are published in *Bed-days and costs associated* with the inpatient burden of healthcare-associated infection in the UK, in the Journal of Hospital Infection 114 (2021) 43 – 50: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.12.027</u>

# Excess length of stay and bed-days lost due to healthcare associated infection, by type.

HCAI	Average excess LOS per HCAI	Total annual bed-days lost to HCAI (NHS Scotland acute hospitals) (95% CI)
BSI	11.4 (5.8–17.0)	15,830 (7550–23,950)
GI	6.0 (–0.7 to 12.7)	7,540 (0–16,100)
LRI	7.3 (1.8–12.7)	7,600 (1300–13,540)
PN	16.3 (7.5–25.5)	10,270 (4170–16,380)
SSI	9.8 (4.5–15.0)	10,030 (4190–15,900)
UTI	-1.0 (-4.3 to 2.3)	0 (0–4,180)
Other	14.0 (–3.9 to 31.8)	6,650 (0–16,360)
All HAI	7.8 (5.7–9.9)	58,010 (41,730–74,840)

Table 1: Average excess LOS and bed-days lost by HCAI type in Scotland

(Key: LOS, length of stay; HCAI, healthcare-associated infection; CI, confidence interval; <u>BSI</u>, bloodstream infection; <u>GI</u>, gastrointestinal infection; LRI, <u>lower respiratory tract infection</u>; PN, pneumonia; <u>SSI</u>, surgical site infection; UTI, urinary tract infection; 'Other' includes: SST, skin soft tissue; BJ, bone and joint; CV, cardiovascular; EENT, eye, ear, nose, and throat; and SI, systemic infection)

Table 2: Average excess LOS and bed-days lost by Tier 1 blood stream infection (BSI) in Swansea Bay UHB, April – November 2021

	Tier 1 BSI in SBU Apr - Nov 2021	Average excess LOS per HAI	Total bed-days lost to Tier 1 BSI Apr- Nov 21
Staph. aureus BSI	97	11.4	1,105.80
<i>E. coli</i> BSI	211	11.4	2,405.40
Klebsiella spp. BSI	69	11.4	786.60
Ps. aeruginosa BSI	14	11.4	159.60
All Tier 1 BSI	391	11.4	4,457.40

Table 3: Average excess LOS and bed-days lost by all blood stream infection (BSI) in Swansea Bay UHB, by acute hospital site, April – November 2021

Hospital	AII BSI SBUHB	Average excess LOS per BSI	Total bed-days lost to all BSI Apr- Nov 21
Morriston Hospital	825	11.4	9,405.00
Neath Port Talbot Hospital	27	11.4	307.80
Singleton Hospital	240	11.4	2,736.00
Total	1,092	11.4	12,448.80

Table 4: Cost per case for each healthcare associated infection (HCAI) type and annual cost of HCAI in NHS Scotland

HAI	Cost per case for each HAI type and overall (£)		Annual cost in NHS Scotland (£ million)	
HAI	Total cost per case (£)	Direct cost per case (£)	Total cost (£m)	Direct cost (£m)
BSI	9,109	5,917	12.65	8.22
	(3,511–28,210)	(2,552–15,438)	(4.82–38.96)	(3.45–21.94)
GI	4,794	3,114	6.02	3.91
	(445–19,835)	(192–10,401)	(0.52–24.83)	(0.24–14.11)
LRI	5,833	3,789	6.07	3.94
	(1,729–20,019)	(1,234–11,684)	(1.66–21.25)	(1.17–11.84)
PN	13,024	8,460	8.20	5.33
	(4,808–45,061)	(3,432–23,548)	(2.99–25.88)	(2.01–14.44)
SSI	7,830	5,086	8.01	5.20
	(2,987–24,993)	(2,095–14,433)	(2.95–27.02)	(1.96–13.45)
UTI	0 ( 0–2,109)	0 (0–1,304)	0 (0–3.63)	0 (0–2.21)
Other	11,186	7,266	5.31	3.45
	(0–45,319)	(0–26,523)	(0.05–24.45)	(0–12.77)
	6,232	4,048	46.35	30.11
	(2,733–18,181)	(1,927–9,591)	(19.43–128.81)	(14.12–74.46)

(Key: HCAI, healthcare-associated infection; CI, confidence interval; BSI, bloodstream infection; GI, gastrointestinal infection; LRI, lower respiratory tract infection; PN, pneumonia; SSI, surgical site infection; UTI, urinary tract infection; 'Other' includes: SST, skin soft tissue; BJ, bone and joint; CV, cardiovascular; EENT, eye, ear, nose, and throat; and SI, systemic infection).

Table 5: Cost per case for each healthcare associated bloodstream infection in SBUHB, April – November 2021

HCAI	Cases (Apr-Nov	Cost per case for each HCAI type and overall (£)		· · ·	in SBUHB ov 2021) Ilion)
	2021)	Total cost per case (£)	Direct cost per case (£)	Total cost (£m)	Direct cost (£m)
Tier 1 BSI	391	9,109	5,917	3.56	2.31
All BSI	1092	9,109	5,917	9.95	6.46

### 5. RECOMMENDATION

The Management Board is asked to:

Consider the Infection Prevention & Control Improvement Plan, approve its implementation by Service Deliver Groups, and Health Board Services (e.g. Estates and Facilities), and ensure the required actions are progressed.

Link to		
	Supporting better health and wellbeing by actively empowering people to live well in resilient communities	promoting an
Enabling	Partnerships for Improving Health and Wellbeing	
Objectives	Co-Production and Health Literacy	
(please choose)	Digitally Enabled Health and Wellbeing	
	Deliver better care through excellent health and care service	
	outcomes that matter most to people	tes admerning an
	Best Value Outcomes and High Quality Care	$\square$
	Partnerships for Care	
	Excellent Staff	
	Digitally Enabled Care	
	Outstanding Research, Innovation, Education and Learning	
Health and C	are Standards	
(please choose)	Staying Healthy	
	Safe Care	
	Effective Care	
	Dignified Care	
	Timely Care	
	Individual Care	
	Staff and Resources	
Quality Safe	ty and Patient Experience	
	t assessment report (IA No. 5014, 20/12/2010) stated	d that the be
estimate of co s approximat cost of MRSA due to the a indicative too <b>£1,400</b> , depe related to hea	It assessment report (IA No. 5014, 20/12/2010) stated osts to the NHS associated with a case of <i>Clostridioides</i> of ely <b>£10,000</b> . The estimated cost to the NHS of treatin A bacteraemia is <b>£7,000</b> (the cost of MSSA bacteraemia vailability of a wider choice of antibiotics). In an NHS I, the estimated cost of an <i>E. coli</i> bacteraemia is betwee nding on whether the <i>E. coli</i> is antimicrobial resistant. En althcare associated infections, from 01 April 2021 to the e	difficile infections and individua a could be les S Improvemer een <b>£1,100</b> an Estimated cost and of Februar
estimate of co is approximat cost of MRSA due to the a indicative too <b>£1,400</b> , depe related to hea 2022 is as follow	A set assessment report (IA No. 5014, $20/12/2010$ ) stated obtained to the NHS associated with a case of <i>Clostridioides</i> of ely <b>£10,000</b> . The estimated cost to the NHS of treating A bacteraemia is <b>£7,000</b> (the cost of MSSA bacteraemia vailability of a wider choice of antibiotics). In an NHS I, the estimated cost of an <i>E. coli</i> bacteraemia is between nding on whether the <i>E. coli</i> is antimicrobial resistant. En althcare associated infections, from 01 April 2021 to the el lows: <i>C. difficile</i> - £1,780,000; <i>Staph. aureus</i> bacteraemia	d that the bes difficile infection of an individua a could be les S Improvemen een £1,100 an Estimated cost and of Februar
estimate of co is approximat cost of MRSA due to the a indicative too <b>£1,400</b> , deper related to hea 2022 is as foll coli bacteraer	et assessment report (IA No. 5014, 20/12/2010) stated osts to the NHS associated with a case of <i>Clostridioides</i> of rely <b>£10,000</b> . The estimated cost to the NHS of treatin A bacteraemia is <b>£7,000</b> (the cost of MSSA bacteraemia vailability of a wider choice of antibiotics). In an NHS I, the estimated cost of an <i>E. coli</i> bacteraemia is between nding on whether the <i>E. coli</i> is antimicrobial resistant. En althcare associated infections, from 01 April 2021 to the estimate lows: <i>C. difficile</i> - £1,780,000; <i>Staph. aureus</i> bacteraemia nia - £308,600; therefore, a total cost of <b>£2,956,600</b> .	d that the bes difficile infection of an individua a could be les S Improvement een £1,100 an Estimated cost and of Februar
estimate of co is approximat cost of MRSA due to the a indicative too <b>£1,400</b> , depe related to hea 2022 is as foll coli bacteraer Legal Implica	A set assessment report (IA No. 5014, $20/12/2010$ ) stated obtained to the NHS associated with a case of <i>Clostridioides</i> of ely <b>£10,000</b> . The estimated cost to the NHS of treating A bacteraemia is <b>£7,000</b> (the cost of MSSA bacteraemia vailability of a wider choice of antibiotics). In an NHS I, the estimated cost of an <i>E. coli</i> bacteraemia is between nding on whether the <i>E. coli</i> is antimicrobial resistant. En althcare associated infections, from 01 April 2021 to the el lows: <i>C. difficile</i> - £1,780,000; <i>Staph. aureus</i> bacteraemia	d that the best difficile infection of an individua a could be less S Improvement een $\pounds1,100$ and Estimated cost and of Februar a - $\pounds868,000; I$
estimate of co is approximat cost of MRSA due to the a indicative too <b>£1,400</b> , depe related to hea 2022 is as foll coli bacteraer Legal Implica	et assessment report (IA No. 5014, 20/12/2010) stated osts to the NHS associated with a case of <i>Clostridioides</i> of eely <b>£10,000</b> . The estimated cost to the NHS of treatin A bacteraemia is <b>£7,000</b> (the cost of MSSA bacteraemia vailability of a wider choice of antibiotics). In an NHS I, the estimated cost of an <i>E. coli</i> bacteraemia is betwee nding on whether the <i>E. coli</i> is antimicrobial resistant. E althcare associated infections, from 01 April 2021 to the e lows: <i>C. difficile</i> - £1,780,000; <i>Staph. aureus</i> bacteraemia nia - £308,600; therefore, a total cost of <b>£2,956,600</b> . ation in relation to avoidable healthcare associated infection	d that the best difficile infection of an individua a could be less S Improvement een $\pounds1,100$ and Estimated cost and of Februar a - $\pounds868,000; I$

Long Term Implications (including the impact of the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015)		
A healthier Wales: pre	venting infections	
Report History		
Appendices	Appendix 1 – Infection Prevention & Control Improvement	
	Plan	