

Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol Bae Abertawe Swansea Bay University Health Board



Meeting Date	05 July 2022		Agenda Item	3.1				
Report Title		ety Risk Regist						
Report Author		Assistant Head						
Report Sponsor		Interim Director of						
Presented by								
	Neil Thomas, Assistant Head of Risk & Assurance							
Freedom of Information	Open							
Purpose of the	The purpose of this report is to inform the Health & Safety							
Report	Committee of the risks within the Health Board Risk Register (HBRR) assigned to the Health & Safety Committee. The report also includes an analysis of the operational risks associated with the Health & Safety Committee as recorded within service group & directorate risk registers.							
Key Issues	 The Health the Comm Since ther update, ar Board in J The Regis the May 20 are also re The HBRF been assig of the HBRF 13 - 0 41 - 0 64 - The extract Committee Committee Committee 0 	h Board Risk Re hittee in March 20 n risks have bee nd revised Regis une 2022. Ster extract attac D22 cycle of revise eflected for the h R currently conta gned to the Heal RR appended to – Environment o – Fire Safety Co – Health & Safet ct also includes t	D22. en subject to Ex ster received by hed reflects rev ew largely (some ighest scoring ri ains 40 risks, o lth & Safety Cor this paper inclue f Premises mpliance y infrastructure wo risks that are but which are	f which three have mmittee. An extract				
Specific Action	Information	Discussion	Assurance	Approval				
Required (please choose one only)								
Recommendations	Members are	asked to:						
	assigned t	NOTE the undefine to the life ship Decard Disk Devictor visits						
			•	Health & Safety aken to manage the				

HEALTH & SAFETY RISK REGISTER (HBRR) REPORT

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to inform the Health & Safety Committee of the risks from the Health Board Risk Register (HBRR) assigned to the Health & Safety Committee. The report also includes an analysis of the operational risks associated with the Health & Safety Committee recorded within service group & directorate risk registers.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 Risk Management Framework

The Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing the establishment and maintenance of an effective system of risk management and providing assurance to the Board in that respect. While this is the case, individual risks have been assigned to other Board committees for more detailed scrutiny and assurance. The intention is that committee work programmes are aligned so that progress made to address key risks is reviewed in depth. Regular HBRR update reports are submitted to the Board and the committees of the Board to support this.

Executive Directors are responsible for managing risk within their area of responsibility. The Management Board, chaired by the Chief Executive, oversees the overall operation of the risk management framework and the management of risks within the health board risk register.

Risk Register management is supported by a Risk Management Group (RMG) which meets quarterly and is responsible for overseeing the operational management of risk, ensuring local systems and processes are in place and are operating effectively to ensure appropriate reporting and escalation. The Group last met in June 2022.

Additionally, a Risk Scrutiny Panel is responsible for ensuring there is an appropriate and robust risk management system in place and working throughout the organisation. It is responsible for moderating new risks and risks escalated to the Health Board Risk Register (HBRR) and Board Assurance Framework (BAF) and recommending and advising the Management Board on the escalation and deescalation of risks. The Panel last met in May 2022.

2.2 Risk Appetite

Risk appetite and tolerance provide clarification on the level of risk the Board is prepared to accept.

Following the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic, members of the Board agreed that the risk appetite threshold would be raised. This was agreed for an initial period of 3 months, but has remained raised throughout the pandemic to date, though additional narrative explanation has been provided to supplement the numeric threshold. The current risk appetite, as endorsed by the Board in March 2022 indicates that risks assessed at a threshold score of 20 or above should be addressed as a priority, and there is a low tolerance to risks with a high impact on the quality and safety of staff and patient care.

These arrangements are reviewed regularly by the Executive Team, Audit Committee and the Board.

2.3 Health Board Risk Register (HBRR)

The Health Board Risk Register (HBRR) is intended to summarise the greatest organisational risks facing the Health Board and the actions being taken to mitigate them.

Each Health Board risk has a lead Executive Director who is responsible for ensuring there are mechanisms in place for identifying, managing and alerting the Board to significant risks within their areas of responsibility through regular, timely and accurate reports to the Management Board/Executive Team, relevant Board Committees and the Board.

2.4 Covid-19 Risk Register

In recognition that Covid-19 is an issue which the Health Board is managing, a separate risk register was established to capture the key risks associated with managing the response to the Pandemic. The final meeting of the Covid-19 Gold Command took place in April 2022. At that meeting, arrangements for the ongoing oversight of the remaining risks on the Covid-19 Gold risk log were agreed. Proposals for the capture and management of risk information in Datix have been circulated.

3. MANAGEMENT OF HEALTH & SAFETY RISKS

3.1 Action to Update the HBRR

Since the last meeting, the Risk Scrutiny Panel (RSP) has considered risks escalated by service groups and corporate directorates rated 20 and above (reflecting the Health Board's raised risk appetite of 20), and directed them towards appropriate Executive Directors for consideration. In addition to the above, following discussion at the last Management Board meeting, a Risk Scrutiny Panel meeting in April was attended by the Executive Medical Director. It was the first to review Health Board Risk Register risks scored 20 and above. Advisory notes were shared with Executive leads following the meeting for consideration during the update process in April. Notes were circulated again in May so that changes not actioned during the April cycle due to annual leave could be considered. As noted, this was the first Panel to review and feed back to risk owners on Health Board register entries relating to high scoring risks. Amendments have been made to a number of risk entries – the process will be repeated to drive further improvements over coming meetings.

The most recent changes made in the May cycle of revisions are highlighted within the register extract itself in red.

3.2 HBRR Health & Safety Risks

The HBRR currently contains 40 risks, of which three have been assigned to the Health & Safety Committee. Additionally, there are two risks that are assigned to other Committees for oversight, but which are presented to this Committee for information

An extract of the HBRR containing risks assigned to the Health & Safety Committee for oversight, and those for information, is presented at **Appendix 1**. Key changes made since the Board report are highlighted in red font.

The tables below highlight updates made to these risks following the last meeting:

Risk 13 (Datix ref 841)	Exec Lead	Current Rating	Target Rating	Change			
Environment of	Chief Operating	12	12				
<u>Premises</u>	Officer / Director of			→			
Failure to meet	Strategy			7			
statutory health and							
safety requirements.							
Update							
The current risk score is at its target level.							
Action target dates were refreshed within the period.							
-		-					

Risk 41 (Datix ref 1567)	Exec Lead		Current Rating	Target Rating	Change
Fire Safety Regulation Compliance Uncertain position in regard to the appropriateness of the cladding applied to Singleton Hospital in particular (as a high rise block) in respect of its compliance with fire safety regulations.	Director Finance Performa	of & nce	16	9	→
Update Action target dates were refreshed The risk remains unchanged curre		od.			

Risk 64 (Datix ref 2159)	Exec Lead	Current Rating	Target Rating	Change
Health and Safety	Director of	25	12	
Infrastructure	Finance &			
Insufficient resource and	Performance			
capacity of the health,				
safety and fire function to				→
maintain legislative and				
regulatory compliance for				
the workforce and for the				
sites across SBUHB.				

Update

It has been agreed by the health board to recruit one Health & Safety (H&S) Advisor and one Manual Handling (MH) Trainer/Advisor. Given that the posts will take time to have any impact on training and audit, it is possible that the risk score can be reduced slightly in six months' time after successful recruitment with a targeted reduction in Q4. The H&S advisor and MH adviser/trainer posts will be uploaded to Trac (electronic recruitment system) in June, interview dates arranged for July with targeted commencement in Aug/Sept 2022.

The Committee should ensure that its agenda enables the scrutiny and challenge of actions being taken to address the risks, and supports the reporting of assurance to the Board accordingly.

Additionally, there are two risks allocated for scrutiny to other Committees, but included within the risk register extract for information of the Health & Safety Committee due to the relevance of elements of the risks:

HBRR Ref	Risk Detail	Current Risk Score	Assuring Committee
36	Paper Record Storage Lack of a single electronic record means there is greater reliance on the provision of the paper record. If we fail to provide adequate storage facilities for paper records, then this will impact on the availability of patient records at the point of care. Quality of the paper record may also be reduced if there is poor records management in some wards. There is an increased fire risk where medical records are stored outside of the medical record libraries.	16	Audit
76	Partnership Working *risk re-articulated* There are some remaining tensions between the Health Board and some trade union partners within SBUHB particularly in response to the supply of PPE which has the potential to create unrest in the workforce and hamper an effective response to COVID-19.	Reduced to 10	W&OD

3.2 Operational Health & Safety Risks

Operational risks relating to health & safety within Service Groups and Directorates should be recorded within their operational risk registers. Services can escalate health & safety risks for consideration for inclusion on the HBRR via the Risk Scrutiny Panel, Risk Management Group or directly via discussion with Executive Directors.

The tables below summarise the operational risks by Service Group / Directorate and risk category.

Figure 1 - Operational H&S Risks by Service Group/Directorate and Risk Category

Srervice Group / Directorate	сознн	Environment	Falls	Fire	Legislation (inc Human Tissue Act)	Manual Handling including LOLER	Safeguarding	Security	Sharps	Staff Shortages	Violence & Aggression, Lone	Violence and Aggression	Grand Total
Mental Health and Learning Disabilities			1	1				1				3	6
Morriston Hospital Service	4	2	1	1		1			2	1	1	4	17
Neath Port Talbot & Singleton	2	4	1	4	1	5	1				1	2	21
Primary and Community Services				1					1			2	4
EMRTS						1							1
Nursing & Patient Experience				1									1
Operations (previously Planning)		1		1	1			1				1	5
Strategy				1									1
Grand Total	6	7	3	10	2	7	1	2	3	1	2	12	56

There is a small reduction in the numbers of risks open since the previous report (60 were reported in March 2022).

The top 5 health & safety risk themes are as follows:

Figure 2 –	T C	141- 0	0-4-4-	D:-1-	<u></u>	
FIGURE / -	IONSH	eaith &	Satery	RICK	Laterio	rieci
		calui a	Ouldry	INGIN	Calcyo	1001

H&S Risk Category	Number of Risks
Violence & Aggression	14
Fire	10
Environment	7
Manual Handling	7
COSHH	6

3.3 Risk Management Training Workshops

Delivery of Risk Management Workshops has been completed within Neath Port Talbot & Singleton and Primary Care & Therapies service groups.

Workshops have started in Morriston and Mental Health & Learning Disabilities.

Corporate directorates will follow.

4. GOVERNANCE & RISK

4.1 Risk Appetite & Tolerance Levels

As noted earlier, the current risk appetite, as endorsed by the Board in November 2021 indicates that risks assessed at a threshold score of 20 or above should be addressed as a priority, and there is a low tolerance to risks with a high impact on the quality and safety of staff and patient care.

Following discussion at Board, further work is being done to develop a more nuanced approach to risk appetite.

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are financial implications to minimising the risks entered on the HBRR in relation to significant revenue implication around strengthening resources in the Health Board, Service Groups and Departments. Capital monies may also be required in relation to supporting the improvements required to improve and where this is the case further detail is provided in the individual entries on the HBRR.

6. **RECOMMENDATION**

Members are asked to:

- **NOTE** the updates to the Health Board Risk Register relating to the Health & safety Committee.
- **DISCUSS** the risks assigned to the Health & Safety Committee and endorse the action being taken to manage the risks.

Link to	Supporting better health and wellbeing by actively promotin people to live well in resilient communities	g and empowering
Enabling	Partnerships for Improving Health and Wellbeing	\square
Objectives	Co-Production and Health Literacy	
(please choose)	Digitally Enabled Health and Wellbeing	
	Deliver better care through excellent health and care services	
	outcomes that matter most to people	achieving the
	Best Value Outcomes and High Quality Care	\boxtimes
	Partnerships for Care	\boxtimes
	Excellent Staff	\boxtimes
	Digitally Enabled Care	\boxtimes
	Outstanding Research, Innovation, Education and Learning	\boxtimes
Health and Ca		
(please choose)	Staying Healthy	\boxtimes
	Safe Care	\boxtimes
	Effective Care	\boxtimes
	Dignified Care	\boxtimes
	Timely Care	\boxtimes
	Individual Care	\boxtimes
	Staff and Resources	\boxtimes
Quality Safety	and Patient Experience	
staff working in Systems to ma	to ensuring the quality, safety & experience of patients re the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example	safety risks. understanding
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Imp The risks out	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications lined within this report have resource implications w	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. hich are being
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Impl The risks out addressed by t	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications lined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. hich are being
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Imp The risks out addressed by t part of the Bo	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications lined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes.	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. hich are being
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Impl The risks out addressed by t part of the Bo Legal Implicat	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications lined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes. ions (including equality and diversity assessment)	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. hich are being onsideration as
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Impl The risks out addressed by t part of the Bo Legal Implicat It is essential th mitigate risks fa for the UHB. He	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications lined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes.	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. hich are being consideration as s, capture and egal implications
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Imp The risks out addressed by t part of the Bo Legal Implicat It is essential th mitigate risks fa for the UHB. He effective use o	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications ined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes. ions (including equality and diversity assessment) nat the Board has robust arrangements in place to assess aced by the organisation, as failure to do so could have le ealth and safety law compliance, avoidance or mitigation f staff and training resources etc.	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. hich are being consideration as s, capture and egal implications
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Impl The risks out addressed by t part of the Bo Legal Implicat It is essential th mitigate risks fa for the UHB. He effective use of Staffing Implicat	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications ined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes. ions (including equality and diversity assessment) nat the Board has robust arrangements in place to assess aced by the organisation, as failure to do so could have le ealth and safety law compliance, avoidance or mitigation f staff and training resources etc.	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. hich are being consideration as s, capture and egal implications of claims,
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Impl The risks out addressed by t part of the Bo Legal Implicat It is essential th mitigate risks fa for the UHB. He effective use of Staffing Implicat All staff have a	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications lined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes. ions (including equality and diversity assessment) hat the Board has robust arrangements in place to assess aced by the organisation, as failure to do so could have le ealth and safety law compliance, avoidance or mitigation of staff and training resources etc. cations	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. which are being consideration as s, capture and egal implications of claims, SBUHB policies
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Imp The risks out addressed by t part of the Bo Legal Implicat It is essential th mitigate risks fa for the UHB. He effective use of Staffing Implio All staff have a and have a per	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications lined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes. ions (including equality and diversity assessment) nat the Board has robust arrangements in place to assess aced by the organisation, as failure to do so could have le ealth and safety law compliance, avoidance or mitigation of staff and training resources etc. cations responsibility for promoting risk management, adhering to	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. which are being consideration as s, capture and egal implications of claims, SBUHB policies and colleague's
staff working in Systems to ma each patients t Financial Impl The risks out addressed by t part of the Bo Legal Implicat It is essential th mitigate risks fa for the UHB. Ho effective use of Staffing Implio All staff have a and have a per health and sa	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications ined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes. ions (including equality and diversity assessment) nat the Board has robust arrangements in place to assess aced by the organisation, as failure to do so could have le ealth and safety law compliance, avoidance or mitigation f staff and training resources etc. cations responsibility for promoting risk management, adhering to sonal responsibility for patients' safety as well as their own	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. hich are being consideration as s, capture and egal implications of claims, SBUHB policies and colleague's to review their
staff working in Systems to ma each patients to Financial Impl The risks out addressed by to part of the Boo Legal Implication It is essential the mitigate risks for for the UHB. He effective use of Staffing Implication All staff have a and have a per health and sa existing operation	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications lined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c pard's risk management processes. ions (including equality and diversity assessment) nat the Board has robust arrangements in place to assess aced by the organisation, as failure to do so could have le eath and safety law compliance, avoidance or mitigation f staff and training resources etc. cations responsibility for promoting risk management, adhering to sonal responsibility for patients' safety as well as their own fety. Executive Directors/Unit Directors are requested ional risks on Datix Risk Module to ensure SBUHB has a	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. hich are being consideration as s, capture and egal implications of claims, SBUHB policies and colleague's to review their
staff working in Systems to ma each patients to Financial Impl The risks out addressed by to part of the Boot Legal Implicat It is essential the mitigate risks fat for the UHB. He effective use of Staffing Implie All staff have a and have a per health and sa existing operation up to date risk Long Term Implication	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications ined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes. ions (including equality and diversity assessment) hat the Board has robust arrangements in place to assess aced by the organisation, as failure to do so could have le ealth and safety law compliance, avoidance or mitigation of staff and training resources etc. cations responsibility for promoting risk management, adhering to sonal responsibility for patients' safety as well as their own fety. Executive Directors/Unit Directors are requested ional risks on Datix Risk Module to ensure SBUHB has a profile. plications (including the impact of the Well-being of F	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. which are being consideration as s, capture and egal implications of claims, SBUHB policies and colleague's to review their an accurate and
staff working in Systems to ma each patients to Financial Impl The risks out addressed by to part of the Boo Legal Implicat It is essential th mitigate risks fa for the UHB. Ho effective use of Staffing Implio All staff have a and have a per health and sa existing operat up to date risk Long Term Im Generations (The HBRR and make an asses	the UHB. Patients are potentially exposed to health and nage those risks must be patient centred; as an example rigger for violence and aggression will protect both staff a ications lined within this report have resource implications w he respective Executive Director leads and taken into c ard's risk management processes. ions (including equality and diversity assessment) nat the Board has robust arrangements in place to assess aced by the organisation, as failure to do so could have le ealth and safety law compliance, avoidance or mitigation of staff and training resources etc. cations responsibility for promoting risk management, adhering to sonal responsibility for patients' safety as well as their own fety. Executive Directors/Unit Directors are requested ional risks on Datix Risk Module to ensure SBUHB has a profile.	eceiving care and safety risks. understanding nd patients. which are being consideration as s, capture and egal implications of claims, SBUHB policies and colleague's to review their an accurate and Future

Report History	N/A
Appendices	Appendix 1 – Health Board Risk Register extract



Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol Bae Abertawe

Swansea Bay University Health Board

HEALTH BOARD RISK REGISTER May 2022

RISKS ASSIGNED TO THE HEALTH & SAFETY COMMITTEE

Datix ID Number: 841 Health & Care Standard: Safe	e Care 2.1 Managing Risk & Promotir	ng Health & Safety	HBR Ref Number: 13 Target Date: TBC	Current Risk Rating 4 x 3 = 12			
Objective: Best Value Outcome		ig nouth a curry	Director Lead: Inese Robotham, Chief Operating Officer / Sian Harrop-Griffiths, Director of Strategy Assuring Committee : Health and Safety Committee				
	ance – Environment of Premises. R dation in line with Health and Safety Re		Date last reviewed: May 2022				
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 4 = 16 Current: 4 x 3 =12 Target: 4 x 3 = 12	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>12 12 12 12 12 12</u> 12	Rationale for current score: The accommodation is varied in age, tired and in need of upgrading/refurbishment to enable improved condition and compliance to regulations and WHBN/WHTMs.				
Level of Control = 90% Date added to the HB risk register April 2012	5 0 1987 ²² 1987 ²² 507 ²² 00 ²² 100 ²² 00 ²²		Rationale for target score: Risk assessments of premises.				
	urrently doing about the risk?)		Mitigating actions (What mo	re should we do?)			
issues. Health & Safety ar agreed actions to mitigate	nce linked to health & safety/fire nd Quality & Safety Committees and impacts. n site meetings trade improvements	Action The Health Boards 'Change for the Future' which is about improving access to services, will include a review of the whole estate and its suitability		Lead Assistant Director of Operations (Est) & Assistant Director of Strategy (Capital)	Deadline 31/05/2022		
on the 2 acute hospital site	es.		uled to be completed by 31/03/22 isation of the various sites	Assistant Director of Operations (Est)	31/05/2022		
 Primary Care premises, audits commissioned and delayed due to covid. 		A review is currently taking place of current PCST structures and governance arrangements for estates and H&S to cover key compliances and escalation processes, with a draft report targeted for 31/12/21		Service Group Director (PCT) & Assistant Director of Health & Safety	31/05/2022		
		Work is being progressed to understand the detail in each of the leased properties to ensure appropriate levels of responsibility are identified for the landlord and the tenant/occupier		Service Group Director (PCT) supported by ADoOperations (Est), ADoStrategy (Capital) and ADoH&S	31/05/2022		
Assurances (How do we know	w if the things we are doing are havi	ng an impact?)	Gaps in assurance (What add	itional assurances should we seek?)			
Lindate 18.03.22 Lindate on 5	Change for the Euture' and '6 Eccet cu	Additional Comments / Pr		ew with equality access assessment includ	ed within the		
• •	enced and is due to be completed by th	•					

Datix ID Number: 1043	ative Cours 2.4 Olivia ally Effective Cours	HBR Ref Number: 36	Current Risk Rating			
Objective : Digitally enabled ca		Target Date: 31 st March 2023 Director Lead: Matt John, Director of Digit Assuring Committee: Audit Committee For information: Health & Safety Commit				
provision of the paper record. will impact on the availability of	Lack of a single electronic record means there is greater reliance on the If we fail to provide adequate storage facilities for paper records, then this patient records at the point of care. Quality of the paper record may also be management in some wards. There is an increased fire risk where medical ne medical record libraries.	Date last reviewed: May 2022				
Risk Rating(consequence x likelihood):Initial: 4 x 5 = 20Current: 4 x 4 = 16Target: 3 x 3 =9Level of Control= 70%Date added to the HB risk	$\begin{bmatrix} -16 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16 $	Rationale for current score: C - Inability to find records for patients could delay care/increase leng over 15 days. Could also mean patients receive incorrect treatment. risk of fire where records are stored outside of the medical record libr L - we know this happens from incidents raised Rationale for target score: C - The increased development and adoption of the digital record will need for the paper health record being available at the point of care.				
register June 2016	Target Score Risk Score	L - The increased development and adopti of RFID and the approach to management Business case process should reduce the and managed.	of the paper record ider amount of paper require	ntified in the		
	Is (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What	more should we do?)			
The delivery of the plan is of Management Board. (SuppRecords managed by the N	ncrease the functionality of the electronic record to document patient care. overseen by the Digital Leadership Group and progress provided to orted by individual project boards as appropriate) fedical Records libraries are RFID tagged and location tracked e regularly risk assessed for fire by health and safety	Action Develop Business Case for the scanning of patients records.	Lead Head of Health Records & Clinical Coding	Deadline30thSeptember2022		
 Alternative offsite storage a All records must be document 	arrangements have been identified. ented on the Information Asset Register (IAR)	Once Business Case is approved, relocate Health records to the new site. Business Case being presented to Management Board – 18/05/2022	Head of Health Records & Clinical Coding	TBC		
 RFID has been implemente Health Records performance Attainment of the Tier 1 He timely availability and quali Monitoring complaints and 	w if the things we are doing are having an impact?) ed for the acute record improving the management and storage of records ce reports developed in line with RFID technology alth Board target for clinical coding completeness which relies on the ty of the Paper record and electronic sources incident reporting. mplemented in accordance with the plan eg implementation of WNCR,	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?) Investment required supporting the delivery and operational costs of the Digital strategy. Reliance on NWIS for delivery of the solution for a fully electronic patient record. Impact of the Infected Blood Enquiry on the Health Boards ability to destroy notes.				

	Process for ensuring clinical adoption of electronic ways of working and cessation of adding information to the paper record that is already available electronically needs to be agreed and enforced by the Health Board. Impact of the infected Blood Inquiry on the health boards ability to destroy notes has considerably increased the pressure on storage capacity and negating some of the mitigating actions that are in place.
	Additional Notes
16.02.22 – No further update for February 2022	

Update 14.04.2022 – Business Case approved at BCAG for centralised storage Unit for Health Records pending funding. Going to Management Board on the 19th April 2022. Two Actions completed: Reviewing different off site options for a centralised storage facility for all active acute records to include a centralised scanning model and Develop Business Case/paper for improved offsite storage solution for the acute paper records.

		HBR Ref Number: 41	Current Risk Rating		
		Target Date: February 2024	4 x 4 = 16		
		Director Lead: Darren Griffiths, Director		ince	
		Assuring Committee: Health and Safety	/ Committee		
		Date last reviewed: May 2022			
	to the appropriateness of the cladding applied to Singleton Hospital in				
	ck) in respect of its compliance with fire safety regulations.	Rationale for current score:			
Risk Rating		Cladding applied to Singleton Hospital front flank is not compliant with fire regulations			
(consequence x likelihood): Initial: 5 x 3 = 15		General compliance with fire regulations			
Current: $4 \times 4 = 16$	-16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16			ullements.	
Target: $3 \times 3 = 9$					
Level of Control	99999999999 9	Rationale for target score:			
= 50%		Once sufficient resources and the cladding is replaced the risk score will reduce			
Date added to the HB	with with west septi octal world peal with could want bould want want	significantly. This will be reduced in stages as resources are implemented an			
risk register	Jun In Prize Cer Oc. Non Der Tan ten Way bus Way	replaced.			
31/05/2018					
Control	s (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)			
Fire risk assessmer		Action	Lead	Deadline	
	rertical and horizontal).	Change in fire evacuation plans and	Head of Health &	31/05/2022	
 Fire safety training. 		alarm and detection cause and effect	Safety		
	sought on compliance of panels.	Replacing the existing cladding and	Service	28/02/2024	
 East flank panels re 		insulation with alternative specifications	Improvement	20/02/2021	
	g developed for south panel removal and updating.	and inserting 30 minute fire cavity	Manager		
		barriers where appropriate	manager		
Assurances (How do we kr	now if the things we are doing are having an impact?)	Gaps in assurance		1	
 Monitoring through the H&S committee to receive assurance and or identify gaps for key 		(What additional assurances should we seek?)			
compliance and adherence to applicable legislation.		Suitable resources to be in place, all fire risk assessments and actions from them			
NWSSP internal audits		completed. Fire safety audits carried out	internally. Fire compar	tmentation surveyed to	
 Site visits/tours to identify compliance and gaps in compliances. 		provide assurance of fire stopping. Fire schematics updated and fire evacuation			
 Completion of FRA's within targeted schedule 		drawings updated in in place.			
	Additional Comments / Pr	rogress Notes			
17 01 00. Cladding project b	oard met on 14.01.22 for an update on the progress of the cladding project		emoval - Expert witnes	s investigations). The	
17.01.22. Cladding project b					
	ate is March 2024. The cladding replacement works (fire integrity) is not r	now expected to be completed until March	2024, therefore, this w	in impact on the ability	
latest expected completion d	ate is March 2024. The cladding replacement works (fire integrity) is not r esent and will be continually reviewed.	now expected to be completed until March	2024, inereiore, inis w	in impact on the ability	

Datix ID Number: 2159	to Caro 2.1 Managing Dick & Dromoting Hoolth & Safaty	HBR Ref Number: 64 Current Risk Rating			
Health & Care Standard: Safe Care 2.1 Managing Risk & Promoting Health & Safety Objective: Best Value Outcomes		Target Date: 31st October 2022 5 X 5 = 25 Director Lead: Darren Griffiths, Director of Finance & Performance Assuring Committee: Health and Safety Committee			
Risk: Insufficient resource and capacity of the health, safety and fire function within SBUHB to maintain legislative and regulatory compliance for the workforce and for the sites across SBUHB.		Date last reviewed: May 2022			
Risk Rating(consequence x likelihood):Initial: $5 \times 4 = 20$ Current: $5 \times 5 = 25$ Target: $4 \times 3 = 12$ Level of Control $= 70\%$ Date added to the HBrisk registerSeptember 2019	$\begin{bmatrix} -25 & 25 & 25 & 25 & 25 & 25 & 25 & 25 $	Rationale for current score: The Health Board received 12 Health & Safety Executive (HSE) improvement during 2019-20 covering various Health & Safety legislative breaches covering range of areas. There is the potential for future multiple notices for not meeting legislative requirements. Possible reduction in score once two new posts are Rationale for target score: Compliance with the notices and to have sufficient resources to implement a sustainable health and safety provision to support the legal requirements of t Board and demonstrate that suitable resources are in place to undertake the and responsibilities of the department, and to undertake suitable and sufficie			
		training, provide corporate overview/audit to ensure practices are the workplace.			
	s (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)			
 Assistant Director of Health and Safety in post to support strengthening and develop the H&S function to support the organisation. Business case submitted for additional resources. Health and Safety Operational Group and the Health and Safety Committee monitor compliance. Refreshed the Fire Safety Group with additional controls in place. Fire risk assessments are being prioritised with temporary additional resources put in place in March 2021 to reduce the number of FRA overdue. Fire training in place and fire wardens in place Fire risk assessment schedule in place for the next 12 months to maintain 100% compliance of completion and is regularly reviewed 		Action Health and safety structure review to be presented to the H&S Committee when funding has been agreed. The Target date has been adjusted to reflect this.	Lead Assistant Director of H&S	Deadline 30/09/2022	
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) Monitoring through the appropriate group/committees (H&S committee) to receive assurance and or identify gaps for key compliance and adherence to applicable legislation. Site visits/tours to identify compliance and gaps in compliances. 		Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?) Agreement of funding for resources identified in business case to implement structu in business case by Q2/3 2022/23 financial year.			
04.05.22 - It has been agreed 2022/23, with an end Q1 or b score can be reduced slightly	Additional Comments / Pro d by the health board to recruit one H&S Advisor and one Manual Handlin eginning of Q2 for successful candidates to commence. Given that the p in 6 months' time after successful recruitment with a targeted reduction MH adviser/trainer will be uploaded to Trac in June, interview dates in Ju	ng Trainer/Advisor. Verifications form completed ar posts will take time to have any impact on training a in Q4.			

Datix ID Number: 2377 Health & Care Standard: Staff	& Pasources 7.1 Workforce	HBR Ref Number: 76	Current Risk Rating 5 x 2 = 10		
Health & Care Standard: Staff & Resources 7.1 Workforce Objective: Partnerships for Care		Target Date: 30th September 2022 5 x 2 = 10 Director Lead: Debbie Eyitayo, Director of Workforce & OD Assuring Committee: Workforce & OD Committee, Health & Safety Committee			
SBUHB particularly in response	ons between the Health Board and some trade union partners within to the supply of PPE which has the potential to create unrest in the ive response to COVID-19.	Date last reviewed: May 2022			
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 5 x 5 = 25 Current: 5 x 2 = 10 Target: 5 x 1 = 5	Per an effective response to COVID-19.		ritical of the HB position idance, demanding wide osition allows. They eng in very direct and critical t the degree to which the Partnership Forum and L s not fundamentally char ironment this risk is expe- actively encouraging un staff who had a positive (during the height of the bi-monthly arrangements ount of the new revised of	ion and demanded videspread use of engaged with tical terms, these interjections ad Local Negotiating changed. As Wales expected to reduce union members to ve Covid test. This the pandemic and ents. This risk will ed risk assessment	
Level of Control = 25% Date added to the HB risk register		Rationale for target score: Ideally staff side would support the HB position re PPE in line with PHW guidance. In doing so they would reassure staff and reduce their levels of general concern and anxiety regarding Covid Protection.			
May 2021	(Milest and an another defines all and the right)	Midiradian actions (M/ba			
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)		Mitigating actions (What Action	Lead	Deadline	
 After a near two years of fortnightly and then monthly meetings the frequency of PF has recently reverted to normal bimonthly arrangements as the Covid related content has now reduced 		Develop an effective working relationship	Assistant	31/05/2022	
			Director of Workforce & OD		

Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?)				
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) Monitored through range of contact points with staff side organisation mainly LPF and other routine meetings interaction with staff side. Reduction in direct action by staff side and the issue of PPE not being consistently raised through formal channels media etc. Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?) N/A 				
ress Notes.				
ionship with all trade union partners and collectively via the agreed HB Partnership I Reps to explore the relationship and develop plan for improvement. It Staff side Colleagues, HR colleagues, Executives and Service Groups reps, on a derived on the back of the sessions which has been agreed and signed off by the Board Partnership Forum and will be overseen through that forum. Further work has for agenda items. It PF by staff side covering PPE issues in this transitional period. However these the risk score has not been adjusted but over the coming months the score is				

Risk Score Calculation

For each risk identified, the LIKELIHOOD & CONSEQUENCE mechanism will be utilised. Essentially this examines each of the risks and attempts to assess the likelihood of the event occurring (PROBABLILITY) and the effect it could have on the Health Board (IMPACT). This process ensures that the Health Board will be focusing on those risks which require immediate attention rather than spending time on areas which are, relatively, a lower priority.

Risk Matrix	LIKELIHOOD (*)				
CONSEQUENCE (**)	1 - Rare	2 - Unlikely	3 - Possible	4 - Probable	5 - Expected
1 - Negligible	1	2	3	4	5
2 - Minor	2	4	6	8	10
3 - Moderate	3	6	9	12	15
4 - Major	4	8	12	16	20
5 - Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25