

# Effectiveness of Counter-Fraud Arrangements – Swansea Bay University Health Board

Audit year: 2020

Date issued: June 2020

Document reference: 1933A2020-21

## **Purpose of this document**

This document is a draft supplied in confidence solely for the purpose of verifying the accuracy and completeness of the information contained in it and to obtain views on the conclusions reached.

## **Handling prior to publication**

This document and the copyright comprised therein is and remains the property of the Auditor General for Wales. It contains information which has been obtained by the Auditor General and Audit Wales under statutory functions solely to discharge statutory functions and has been prepared as the basis for an official document that may be issued or published in due course. It may also contain information the unauthorised disclosure of which may be an offence under section 54 of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004. Except as expressly permitted by law, neither the document nor any of its content may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system and/or transmitted in any form or by any means, or disclosed to any person other than the original recipient without the prior written permission of Audit Wales. It must be safeguarded at all times to prevent publication or other improper use of its content. Unauthorised use or disclosure may result in legal proceedings. Any enquiries regarding disclosure or re-use of this document should be sent to Audit Wales at [infoofficer@audit.wales](mailto:infoofficer@audit.wales).

This document has been prepared as part of work performed in accordance with statutory functions.

In the event of receiving a request for information to which this document may be relevant, attention is drawn to the Code of Practice issued under section 45 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The section 45 code sets out the practice in the handling of requests that is expected of public authorities, including consultation with relevant third parties. In relation to this document, the Auditor General for Wales and Audit Wales are relevant third parties. Any enquiries regarding disclosure or re-use of this document should be sent to Audit Wales at [infoofficer@audit.wales](mailto:infoofficer@audit.wales).

We welcome correspondence and telephone calls in Welsh and English. Corresponding in Welsh will not lead to delay. Rydym yn croesawu gohebiaeth a galwadau ffôn yn Gymraeg a Saesneg. Ni fydd gohebu yn Gymraeg yn arwain at oedi.

Mae'r ddogfen hon hefyd ar gael yn Gymraeg. This document is also available in Welsh.

The person who delivered the work was Delyth Lewis.

# Contents

The Health Board demonstrates a commitment to counter-fraud, has suitable arrangements to support the prevention and detection of fraud and is able to respond appropriately where fraud occurs.

## Summary report

Background 4

Main findings and areas for improvement 5

## Detailed report

Our findings 6

## Appendices

Appendix 1 – Counter-fraud resources 13

Appendix 1 – Management response 14

# Summary report

## Background

- 1 On 11 June 2019, the Auditor General published [Counter-Fraud Arrangements in the Welsh Public Sector: An Overview for the Public Accounts Committee](#). The report was a high-level, descriptive review of the arrangements in place within the Welsh Government, the NHS and local government (unitary authorities only), and highlighted some important messages:
  - Losses caused by fraud in the public sector are significant. In a time of austerity, every pound lost to fraud is a pound that could be spent on public services.
  - Fraud in all its forms is constantly evolving, so counter-fraud measures need to keep pace with the fraudsters.
  - Resources devoted to counter-fraud activity vary widely across the public sector in Wales.
- 2 Following publication of the report, the Public Accounts Committee endorsed the Auditor General's proposal to undertake further work across a range of Welsh public sector bodies to examine how effective counter-fraud arrangements are in practice and to make recommendations for improvement. This work was undertaken during December 2019 – February 2020.
- 3 [\[Paragraph 3,4 and 5 will refer to the national counter-fraud report we intend to publish on 14 July 2020, and will be added to this report after the national report is published\]](#)
- 4 [\[To be added\]](#).
- 5 [\[Paragraph 5 will refer to the findings in the national counter-fraud report and will \[To be added\]](#).
- 6 This summary report sets out our assessment of Swansea Bay University Health Board's (the Health Board's) arrangements for preventing and detecting fraud. Our assessment is based on document reviews, including board and committee papers, and interviews with a small number of staff.

## Main findings and areas for improvement

- 7 Our assessment identified that the Health Board demonstrates a commitment to counter-fraud, has suitable arrangements to support the prevention and detection of fraud and is able to respond appropriately where fraud occurs. Our key findings from the work are set out in more detail in the following section of this report.
- 8 In undertaking this work, we identified some areas for improvement (**Exhibit 1**), they should be considered alongside the themes identified in the national report. The Health Board's management response to the areas for improvement is available in **Appendix 2**.

## Exhibit 1: areas for improvement

<b>Areas for improvement</b>	
<b>Counter-fraud training</b>	
I1	Implement mandatory counter-fraud training for some or all staff groups.
<b>Counter-fraud staff capacity</b>	
I2	Consider the Local Counter-Fraud specialist capacity required to resource required levels of proactive and investigative work, including staff training, and build in resilience to the team.
<b>Recording and monitoring of economic fraud risk</b>	
I3	Implement consistency in the recording and monitoring of economic fraud risk in line with the Health Board's risk management policy and strategy.

Exhibit source: Audit Wales

# Detailed report

## Our findings

9 The following table sets out the areas of focus within our work and our findings.

Areas of work	Findings
<p>We considered whether the top tier demonstrates a commitment to counter-fraud and provides the necessary leadership to fight fraud.</p> <p>We expected to see:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• the Board/Executive team promoting a clear commitment to zero tolerance of fraud and championing counter-fraud work.</li><li>• senior leadership actively promoting and cascading an anti-fraud culture.</li><li>• all organisation-wide understanding of responsibilities for preventing fraud and reporting suspected fraud.</li><li>• an organisational commitment to counter-fraud and ethics awareness training, with appropriate and targeted mandatory counter-fraud training for all staff.</li></ul>	<p>We found the following good practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• the Executive Director of Finance is the Board executive responsible for counter-fraud.</li><li>• counter-fraud is a standing item on the Audit Committee agenda.</li><li>• all fraud related issues are treated as a priority by the organisation, and there is a clear commitment from the Executive Director of Finance and the Chair of the Audit Committee</li><li>• policies and strategies send out a consistent message that fraud will not be tolerated, and that all steps will be taken to take criminal or disciplinary sanctions against perpetrators. The Health Board ensures that there are effective lines of communication between those responsible for counter-fraud, bribery and corruption work and other key staff groups and managers within the organisation.</li><li>• the Health Board publicises proven frauds and the action taken.</li></ul> <p>We identified the following area for improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• at the time of our review, counter-fraud training was not mandatory for all staff and was not included in induction training for new-starters. However, a Counter Fraud page has been included in the staff handbook provided to all new staff which gives a brief introduction to the Local Counter Fraud Service,</li></ul>

Areas of work	Findings
	<p>and signposts staff toward further information. The Health Board also provides counter-fraud literature and awareness sessions on a non-mandatory basis and is exploring making counter-fraud training mandatory.</p>
<p>We considered whether the organisation has a suitable structure and sufficient skilled resources to prevent and detect fraud.</p> <p>We expected to see:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a designated Local Counter-Fraud Specialist (LCFS) with designated responsibility for counter-fraud and the ability to influence the level of counter-fraud resources.</li> <li>• an appropriate level of experienced, trained and accredited counter-fraud staff to undertake investigations and counter-fraud work.</li> <li>• clarity in respect of counter-fraud roles, responsibilities and lines of accountability.</li> <li>• investment in counter-fraud based on informed decisions derived from a fraud risk assessment which highlights risks and determines the resources needed to address them.</li> <li>• an annual programme of proactive counter-fraud work (fraud prevention work) which covers</li> </ul>	<p>We found the following good practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the Health Board has a dedicated LCFS, with the ability to influence the level of counter-fraud resources designated by the Executive Director of Finance.</li> <li>• the LCFS is also the designated lead for Powys Teaching Health Board and Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Health Board.</li> <li>• the Health Board employs accredited and trained counter-fraud staff, who attend training and professional development courses as required.</li> <li>• the level of counter-fraud resources within the Health Board is just below the average for Wales (<b>Appendix 1</b>). The whole time equivalent (WTE) of local counter-fraud resources per 1,000 staff is 0.17 WTE compared to the average for NHS Wales of 0.19 WTE.</li> <li>• the Health Board has clearly articulated counter-fraud roles and responsibilities. There is a protocol which sets out the roles and responsibilities of the counter-fraud, finance and workforce teams in relation to pursuing fraud sanctions.</li> <li>• the LCFS and counter-fraud staff have access to all systems, records and premises required to do their work.</li> <li>• the LCFS completes the NHS Counter-Fraud Authority Self-Review Tool (SRT) on an annual basis. Where issues (risks) are identified, they are incorporated into the counter-fraud work plan, together with any issues/risks</li> </ul>

Areas of work	Findings
<p>the risks identified in the risk assessment with ring fenced time allocated to proactive work.</p>	<p>identified through general counter-fraud work. The SRT identifies proactive work priorities for the year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>our review identified that during 2018-19 significant unplanned work was undertaken in relation to the impact of the Bridgend Boundary Change in order to commence the provision of services to Cwm Taf Morgannwg UHB from April 2019.</li> <li>the LCFS has undertaken proactive work designed to address locally identified issues including enterprise pool cars and community pharmacy out of pocket expenses.</li> </ul> <p>We identified the following area for improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>staffing levels are just 2.2 WTE. If the level of investigative work increased, or if any member of staff were to be absent, this could impact the ability to deliver the agreed level of proactive counter-fraud work.</li> </ul>
<p>We considered whether the organisation has a sound policy framework to support effective counter-fraud arrangements.</p> <p>We expected to see:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a counter-fraud strategy/policy which sets out the organisation's approach to managing fraud risks and defines specific counter-fraud responsibilities.</li> </ul>	<p>We found the following good practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the Health Board has a current anti-fraud, bribery and corruption policy (the policy), which is reviewed, evaluated and updated regularly. The policy includes a counter-fraud response plan.</li> <li>the policy was scrutinised and signed off by the Health Board's LCFS, senior management and Audit Committee.</li> <li>staff awareness of the policy is raised in counter-fraud awareness sessions and literature. Staff awareness is evaluated via the fraud awareness survey.</li> </ul>



Areas of work	Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a Code of Conduct setting out acceptable behaviours and how to report and manage conflicts of interest.</li> <li>• sound whistleblowing arrangements which set out mechanisms for reporting fraud.</li> <li>• maintained registers of gifts and hospitalities.</li> <li>• pre-employment screening.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the Health Board has an appropriate Code of Conduct, and whistleblowing and cyber security policies with review and renewal processes in place.</li> <li>• the Health Board has appropriate arrangements to maintain and review registers of interests, gifts and hospitality.</li> <li>• the Health Board has arrangements in place to ensure that all new staff are subject to the pre-employment checks before commencing employment within the organisation.</li> </ul> <p>We did not identify any areas for improvement.</p>
<p>We considered whether the organisation has an effective fraud risk assessment together with appropriate responses to emerging issues.</p> <p>We expected to see:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• regular and comprehensive fraud risk assessments discussed and agreed with senior leaders and the Audit Committee.</li> <li>• fraud risk assessments featuring as part of the organisation's overall risk management framework.</li> <li>• fraud risk built into system design to minimise opportunities for fraud.</li> </ul>	<p>We found the following good practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the Health Board completes the NHS Counter-Fraud Authority's SRT on an annual basis.</li> <li>• annual work plans are based upon intelligence received and identified, a review of ongoing cases, referrals and proactive work priorities identified across Wales. Counter-fraud resource levels are proportionate to the risk level identified. Measures to mitigate identified risks are included in the workplan, which is approved by the Audit Committee.</li> <li>• policies and paper-based procedures are fraud proofed using guidance issued by NHS Counter-Fraud Authority. The LCFS reviews policies and proposes changes where it is deemed necessary.</li> </ul> <p>We identified the following area for improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the Health Board does not currently record and monitor economic fraud risk in line with its overall risk management framework. Risk assessments should be</li> </ul>

Areas of work	Findings
	<p>integrated within the wider risk management framework, to ensure wider corporate ownership and active management of risks.</p>
<p>We considered whether the organisation's internal control environment support effective arrangements for preventing and detecting fraud.</p> <p>We expected to see:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• internal controls designed and tested to address identified fraud risks and help prevent fraud occurring.</li> <li>• internal Audit reviews of fraud risks and testing of controls designed to prevent and detect fraud.</li> <li>• the organisation acting on recommendations to strengthen controls if internal controls are found to be not operating as well as intended and lessons learned from fraud incidents.</li> <li>• the organisation uses data matching to validate data and detect potentially fraudulent activity.</li> </ul>	<p>We found the following good practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the Health Board's Internal Audit team review fraud risks and test controls designed to prevent and detect fraud as part of its annual programme of work. Information and intelligence are shared with local counter-fraud services in line with the agreed information sharing protocol.</li> <li>• the Health Board acts upon recommendations to strengthen controls if internal controls are found to be not operating as well as intended and learn lessons from fraud incidents.</li> <li>• the Health Board participates in the National Fraud Initiative data matching exercise, primary care post-payment verification checks and other local checks (such as payroll).</li> <li>• the Health Board uses case management software to record all system weaknesses as identified as a result of investigations and/or proactive prevention and detection exercises. An important aspect of this software is recording lessons learned.</li> </ul> <p>We identified the following area for improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• our national review identified only a few examples of data analytics being used as a means of preventing fraud, predominantly the National Fraud Initiative data matching exercise.</li> </ul>

Areas of work	Findings
<p>We considered whether the organisation has an appropriate response to fraud.</p> <p>We expected to see:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a comprehensive fraud response plan which sets out clear arrangements for reporting and investigating allegations of fraud.</li> <li>• action to ensure that all allegations of fraud are assessed</li> <li>• documented procedures for conducting fraud investigations which follow proper professional practice and in line with the fraud response plan</li> <li>• consideration of the full range of sanctions available, and redress sought (for example the recovery of money and assets) where appropriate.</li> <li>• an appropriate case management system to record and monitor the progress of potential fraud cases.</li> <li>• collaboration with external partners to tackle fraud.</li> </ul>	<p>We found the following good practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the Health Board's Fraud Response Plan follows best practice as advised by the NHS Counter-Fraud Authority.</li> <li>• qualified staff investigate all cases of suspected fraud, and in line with the Fraud Response Plan. Outcomes of investigations are reported to the Audit Committee and to the NHS Counter-Fraud Authority.</li> <li>• the Health Board utilises the full range of sanctions available (staff disciplinary action, civil action and criminal action) and seeks to recover monies where appropriate and cost effective to do so.</li> <li>• all investigations are documented on case management software. Learning from fraud is shared with appropriate staff to action and implement changes to systems and procedures where appropriate.</li> <li>• the Health Board liaises proactively and on a regular basis with other organisations and agencies such as NHS Legal and Risk Services, the police, Home Office Immigration Services, local authorities, and regulatory and professional bodies to assist in countering fraud, bribery and corruption. Specialist services can be purchased from the NHS Counter-Fraud Authority where necessary.</li> </ul> <p>We did not identify any areas for improvement.</p>

Areas of work	Findings
<p>We considered whether the organisation has proper reporting and scrutiny in place to ensure its counter-fraud culture and framework is operating effectively. We expected to see:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a record kept of fraud losses and recoveries.</li> <li>• the Audit Committee taking a proactive approach to prevent fraud and promote an effective anti-fraud culture.</li> <li>• the Audit Committee challenging and reviewing counter-fraud work, and ensuring it discharges its duties in relation to counter-fraud.</li> </ul>	<p>We found the following good practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the Health Board maintains a record of fraud losses and recoveries.</li> <li>• Counter-fraud is a standing item on the Audit Committee agenda.</li> <li>• the annual plan is presented to the Audit Committee along with regular progress reports on delivering the annual programme of work, along with identified fraud risks and actions to minimise them.</li> <li>• case updates are produced for the private session of Audit Committee outlining the case, status, and recoveries of money/assets.</li> </ul> <p>We did not identify any areas for improvement.</p>

DRAFT

# Appendix 1

## Counter-fraud resources

The following exhibit sets out the number of LCFS resources per 1,000 staff.

### Exhibit 1: number of LCFS resources per 1,000 staff (in order of highest to lowest)

	LCFS WTE	Total number of staff within the organisation	LCFS WTE per 1,000 staff (headcount)
Health Education and Improvement Wales <sup>1</sup>	0.2	280	0.71
Welsh Ambulance Services NHS Trust	2.0	3,535	0.57
Powys Teaching Health Board <sup>2</sup>	1.2	2,286	0.52
Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Health Board <sup>2</sup>	2.6	11,944	0.22
Hywel Dda University Health Board	2.0	10,032	0.20
Aneurin Bevan University Health Board	2.6	13,659	0.19
<b>NHS Wales (average)</b>	<b>18.2</b>	<b>94,614</b>	<b>0.19</b>
Swansea Bay University Health Board <sup>2</sup>	2.2	12,962	0.17
Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board	2.9	18,491	0.16
Public Health Wales NHS Trust <sup>1</sup>	0.3	1,903	0.16
Velindre University NHS Trust <sup>1</sup>	0.4	4,411	0.16
Cardiff and Vale University Health Board <sup>1</sup>	1.8	15,111	0.12

<sup>1</sup> The Cardiff & Vale University Health Board LCFS Team also provide services to Health Education and Improvement Wales, Public Health Wales NHS Trust and Velindre University NHS Trust via an annual Service Level Agreement.

<sup>2</sup> The Swansea Bay University Health Board LCFS Team also provide services to Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Health Board and Powys Teaching Health Board via an annual Service Level Agreement.

Source: Counter-Fraud Authority NHS Wales, Operational Performance Report 2019-20 (Quarter 3), and Stats Wales Headcount as at 30 September 2019 (extracted from the NHS Electronic Staff Record system)

# Appendix 2

## Management response

The following table sets out the Health Board's management response to the areas for improvement.

Ref	Area for improvement	Management response	Completion date	Responsible officer





Audit Wales  
24 Cathedral Road  
Cardiff CF11 9LJ

Tel: 029 2032 0500  
Fax: 029 2032 0600  
Textphone: 029 2032 0660

E-mail: [info@audit.wales](mailto:info@audit.wales)

Website: [www.audit.wales](http://www.audit.wales)

We welcome correspondence and telephone calls in Welsh and English.  
Rydym yn croesawu gohebiaeth a galwadau ffôn yn Gymraeg a Saesneg.